



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/705,577	11/03/2000	Dirk M. Klemm	10022/97	6664
28164	7590 06/22/2004		EXAMINER	
ACCENTURE CHICAGO 28164			CAO, DIEM K	
BRINKS HOF P O BOX 1039	ER GILSON & LIONE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I			2126	****
			DATE MAIL ED. 07/22/2004	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Anntingstingst		pe				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/705,577	KLEMM ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	Diem K Cao	2126					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the							
issues for appeal, and/or							
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	ıs.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consider.	dered but does NO	Γ place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ruse it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou	s) a) will not be entered or b)[ uld be rejected is provided below	will be entered a	nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		т пропава,					
Claim(s) allowed: NONG							
Claim(s) objected to: NONE							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 20-40</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. Other:	sulceotii8 	MENG-ALT. AN ORY PATENT EXAMI	Net.				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations "the network intergration layer provides both an option", "to support a dominant network protocol not native to the node and an option" to independent claims 1, 23, and 26- 27 were not claimed before and require further search and consideration.